

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JAMES JIMINEZ,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-1532 LKK JFM P

12 vs.

13 E. TOWNSELL, et al.,

14 Defendants

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff, a former county jail inmate proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights
17 action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States
18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

19 On June 22, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither
22 party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

23 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
24 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
25 ORDERED that:

26 /////

1 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 22, 2005 are adopted in full; and

2 2. This action is dismissed for lack of prosecution. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

3 DATED: August 5, 2005.

4 /s/Lawrence K. Karlton
5 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
6 SENIOR JUDGE
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

8
9 /jimi1532.801
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26